COMP 212: Functional Programming, Fall 2024

Homework 07

Name:		
Wes Email:		

Question	Points	Score
1	25	
Total:	25	

If possible, please type/write your answers on this sheet and upload a copy of the PDF to your google drive handin folder. Otherwise, please write the answers in some sort of word processor and upload a PDF. Please name the file hw07-written.pdf.

1. Map Fusion

Earlier in the course, we had a function raiseBy: int list * int -> int list that added its int argument to each element of the int list. We proved that for all values 1: int list, a: int, b: int,

$$raiseBy(raiseBy(1,a),b) \cong raiseBy(1,a+b)$$

This is a special case of a property called *map fusion*. Recall the map function:

```
fun map (f : 'a -> 'b, l : 'a list) : 'b list =
    case l of
       [] => []
       | x :: xs => f x :: map (f,xs)
```

Mapping f over some list 1 and then mapping another function g over the result gives a list that is equivalent to the one you would get if you map $fn \ x \Rightarrow g \ (f \ x)$ ("g composed with f") over the original 1. We can write this more concisely by defining an abbreviation for function composition, the function that applies f and then applies g:

fun
$$(g : 'b \rightarrow 'c) \circ (f : 'a \rightarrow 'b) = fn x \Rightarrow g (f x)$$

The property we would like to prove is that for all lists 1,

map
$$(g \circ f, 1) \cong map(g, map f 1)$$

Unfortunately, this is *false* for certain **g** and **f**. We say that a function **f** is *total* if for all values **v**, **f v** is valuable: that is, a function is total iff it is valuable on all inputs.

(5) (a) Give functions g and f and a list 1 such that map (g o f, 1 and map (g, map (f, 1)) have different behaviors. Hint: consider f and g that are not total.

Solution:

However, we *can* prove this property for total f and g.

You may assume the following lemma:

Lemma 1. For all types a, b and values f: $a \rightarrow b$, if f is total then map(f, l) is valuable.

Your job is to prove

Theorem 1. For all types a, b, c, all values f: $a \rightarrow b$ and g: $b \rightarrow c$, and l: a list if f and g are total, then

$$map(g, map(f, l)) \cong map(g o f, l)$$

Proceed by induction on the structure of 1. Be careful to note where you are using valuability, and explain why the expressions involved are valuable—where would your proof break for the non-total functions in your example above?

$(5) \qquad (8)$	a) Solution: Case for []:
	To show:

(15) (b)	Solution: Case for x::xs, where x and xs are values: IH:
	To show:

Solution: (c	continue here if necessary)	