## COMP 212: Functional Programming, Fall 2024

## Homework 08

Name:		
Wes Email:		

Question	Points	Score
1	12	
2	20	
Total:	32	

If possible, please type/write your answers on this sheet and upload a copy of the PDF to your google drive handin folder. Otherwise, please write the answers in some sort of word processor and upload a PDF. Please name the file hw08-written.pdf.

## 1. Analysis

(2)

(a) The following append function was a task in lab (see the lab handout and the lecture notes for last week for an explanation of how tabulate works):

i. Give a tight O-bound for the work of myAppend. Make sure you explicitly state what quantities you are analyzing the work in terms of. Briefly explain why your answer is correct.

Solution:

ii. Give a tight O-bound for the span of myAppend. Make sure you explicitly state what quantities you are analyzing the span in terms of. Briefly explain why your answer is correct.

Solution:		

(2)

(b) Consider the following reverse function:

Seq.singleton and Seq.empty take constant time. To analyze the running time of Seq.reduce, you can assume it is implemented like your tree implementation from HW07, run on a balanced tree; use a recurrence.

i. Give a tight O-bound for the work of reverse', in terms of the length of s. Briefly explain your answer.

Solution:			

ii. Give a tight O-bound for the span of reverse', in terms of the length of s. Briefly explain your answer.

Solution:			

(c) Consider the following alternative implementation of the reverse function:

fun reverse (s : 'a Seq.seq) : 'a Seq.seq =
 Seq.tabulate (fn i => Seq.nth ((Seq.length s) - (i + 1), s), Seq.length s)

i. Give a tight O-bound for the work of reverse, in terms of the length of s. Briefly explain why there is a discrepancy between this and the work of reverse'.

Solution:	

(2) ii. Give a tight O-bound for the span of reverse, in terms of the length of s. Briefly explain why there is a discrepancy between this and the span of reverse'.

Solution:

## 2. NON-COLLABORATIVE PROBLEM: Tree Proof

Remember that non-collaborative problems are to be done independently. You are not allowed to communicate with anyone about the problems, except to ask the instructor or TAs clarification questions (not hints). Additionally, you are not allowed to search for help on the specific problem from any sources besides the course materials.

In this problem, you will prove a specification about the filter\_less and the depth functions on trees from Homework 5. To avoid confusion, remember that these examples used the following tree type with data at the internal nodes:

```
datatype tree = Empty | Node of tree * int * tree
```

The code for these functions is

```
fun depth (t : tree) : int =
    case t
    of Empty => 0
        | Node(1,_,r) => 1 + Int.max(depth 1, depth r)

fun combine (t1 : tree, t2 : tree) : tree =
    case t1 of
        Empty => t2
        | Node(11,x1,r1) => Node(combine(11,r1),x1,t2)

fun filter_less(t : tree, i : int) : tree = ... your HW5 solution ...
```

For the running time analysis of quicksort on trees, we need the following property:

Theorem 1. For all trees t:tree and i:int,

```
depth(filter\_less(t,i)) \leq depth(t)
```

(20) (a) Prove this theorem for your filter\_less from homework 5. Prove any lemmas about combine that you need. You can use the properties of max (maximum) from Homework 5 without proving them.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If you didn't get full credit and can't infer a corrected solution from the comments on your submission, please contact me for the solution.

Solution:		

Sol	ution:		

Solution:		

Solution:	